#### Asset Management Sewer Conditions – Sewer Inspection

Nikola Stanić, TU Delft 01-10-2013





#### Sewer Systems It's characteristics

Main function:

- preventing urban flooding,
- mitigating possible health hazards,
- improving overall aesthetics of urban area.

Sewerage systems are capital intensive infrastructure systems characterised by process and structure complexity.



#### Sewer systems Deficiencies







- Soil contamination
- Exposure to health hazards

 Breakdown of mechanical elements



#### Sewer systems

Top failure events and their main causes

| Top event                        | Cause                      |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Flooding                         | load & and/or canacity     |  |
| Frequent CSOs                    | ioau / aliu/or capacity ¥  |  |
| Soil contamination               | load ↗ and/or strength ↘   |  |
| Exposure to health hazards       | load ↗ and/or protection ↘ |  |
| Collapse of structural elements  | load ↗ and/or strength ↘   |  |
| Breakdown of mechanical elements |                            |  |



#### Dutch Water Related Expenses





#### Sewer Asset Management Definition (one of many)

Sewer asset management aims at maintaining a certain minimum level of service at the lowest cost for rehabilitation and maintenance while meeting environmental/sanitary requirements.



#### Sewer system management process Schematisation









#### Why start an investigation?





#### Sewer inspection techniques









#### Sewer inspection Visual inspection - CCTV

1. Cleaning



#### Sewer inspection Visual inspection - CCTV

2. Installation of camera







#### Sewer inspection Visual inspection - CCTV

3. Assessment





#### Assessment of the footage Visual inspection - CCTV

Nederlandse norm

#### NEN-EN 13508-2 (en)

Toestand van de buitenriolering -Coderingssysteem bij visuele inspectie

Conditions of drain and sewer systems outside buildings -Part 2: Visual inspection coding system



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG





#### List of defects

CCTV

#### 8.2 Codes relating to the fabric of the pipeline

Table 4 — Details of codes relating to the fabric of the pipeline

| Main<br>Code                                       | Additional information   | Description  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Defor  | Deformation              |  |  |  |  |
| ВАА  |                          | The cross sectional shape of the pipeline has been deformed from its original shape.   |  |  |  |
|  |                          | The employing authority may specify whether this code is to be used either for flexible pipes only, or for pipes of all materials. |  |  |  |
|  | Characterisation         | The orientation of the deformation:  |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>vertical (A) – the height of the pipe has been reduced</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|  |                          | — horizontal (B) - the width of the pipe has been reduced.   |  |  |  |
|  | Quantification           | The percentage change in the dimension which reduces.  |  |  |  |
|  | Circumferential location | If the deformation is localised then the circumferential location should be recorded.  |  |  |  |
| Fissu  | re                       |  |  |  |  |
| BAB  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Characterisation 1       | The nature of the fissure :  |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>surface crack (A) – a crack only in the surface;</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>crack (B) – crack lines visible on the pipe wall, pieces still in place;</li> </ul>                                       |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>fracture (C) – crack visibly open in a pipe wall, pieces still in place.</li> </ul>                                       |  |  |  |
| Characterisation 2 The orientation of the fissure: |                          | The orientation of the fissure:  |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>longitudinal (A) – A crack or fracture which is mainly parallel to the axis of<br/>the pipe;</li> </ul>                   |  |  |  |
|  |                          | <ul> <li>— circumferential (B) – A crack or fracture which is mainly around the<br/>circumference of the pipe;</li> </ul>          |  |  |  |



#### List of defects + description

CCTV

8.2 Codes relating to the fabric of the pipeline

Table 4 — Details of codes relating to the fabric of the pipeline

| Main<br>Code | Additional information   | Description  |   |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| Deform       | ation                    |  | Ι |
| BAA          |                          | The cross sectional shape of the pipeline has been deformed from its original shape.   |   |
|              |                          | The employing authority may specify whether this code is to be used either for flexible pipes only, or for pipes of all materials. |   |
|              | Characterisation         | The orientation of the deformation:  |   |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>vertical (A) – the height of the pipe has been reduced</li> </ul>   |   |
|              |                          | — horizontal (B) - the width of the pipe has been reduced.   |   |
|              | Quantification           | The percentage change in the dimension which reduces.  |   |
|              | Circumferential location | If the deformation is localised then the circumferential location should be recorded.  | 1 |
| Fissure      | 9                        |  | Γ |
| BAB          |                          |  | 1 |
|              | Characterisation 1       | The nature of the fissure :  | 1 |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>surface crack (A) – a crack only in the surface;</li> </ul>   | l |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>crack (B) – crack lines visible on the pipe wall, pieces still in place;</li> </ul>                                       |   |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>fracture (C) – crack visibly open in a pipe wall, pieces still in place.</li> </ul>                                       |   |
|              | Characterisation 2       | The orientation of the fissure:  |   |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>longitudinal (A) – A crack or fracture which is mainly parallel to the axis of<br/>the pipe;</li> </ul>                   |   |
|              |                          | <ul> <li>circumferential (B) – A crack or fracture which is mainly around the circumference of the pipe;</li> </ul>                |   |



| BAA | Deformation          |             |            |             |
|-----|----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| BAB | Fissure              |             |            |             |
| BAC | Break/Collapse       |             |            |             |
| BAD | Defective brickwork  | or masonry  |            |             |
| BAE | Missing mortar       |             |            |             |
| BAF | Surface dama         | ige         | 8          |             |
| BAG | Intruding connectior | 1           |            | Van<br>Naar |
| BAH | Defective connectior | l           |            | Foto        |
| BAI | Intruding sealing ma | aterial     |            |             |
| BAJ | Displaced joint      |             |            |             |
| BAK | Lining defect        |             |            | T           |
| BAL | Defective repair     |             |            |             |
| BAM | Weld failure         |             |            | 03-1<br>Van |
| BAN | Porous pipe          | Infiltratio | n          | Naa<br>Kui  |
| BBA | Roots                |             | A          | Fot         |
| BBB | Attached deposits    | A A         | 12         |             |
| BBC | Settled deposits     |             | A 18       |             |
| BBD | Ingress of soil      | 1 / /       | The states |             |
| BBE | Other obstacles      |             | S Market M |             |
| BBF | Infiltration         |             | 0001.21    | 00:         |





#### TEST

#### All stand up!

- 4 photos
- 2 possibilities

Choose the right by: A: hand up B: hand down

Error = sit Good = remain standing

| BAA | Deformation                    |
|-----|--------------------------------|
| BAB | Fissure                        |
| BAC | Break/Collapse                 |
| BAD | Defective brickwork or masonry |
| BAE | Missing mortar                 |
| BAF | Surface damage                 |
| BAG | Intruding connection           |
| BAH | Defective connection           |
| BAI | Intruding sealing material     |
| BAJ | Displaced joint                |
| BAK | Lining defect                  |
| BAL | Defective repair               |
| BAM | Weld failure                   |
| BAN | Porous pipe                    |
| BBA | Roots                          |
| BBB | Attached deposits              |
| BBC | Settled deposits               |
| BBD | Ingress of soil                |
| BBE | Other obstacles                |
| BBF | Infiltration                   |





### cracks







### cracks

-





# surface of damage



### attached deposits





# surface of damage











## obstacle













# infiltration



### ingress of soil







# infiltration



ingress of soil







How many are still standing?

Gambling: good chance is 0.5 4 photos  $\rightarrow 1/16$ ~ 35 students  $\rightarrow \sim 2$  ?





#### From inspection to decision making



In every step of the process errors can occur.



#### Examination results





#### Main conclusions

#### **Feature recognition**

- Probability of a false negative significantly larger than the probability of false positive (people cannot process all visual information).
- P(Fn) =~ 25%
- P(Fp) =~ 5%
- Same results for experienced and un-experienced inspectors.

#### **Feature description**

• Probability of an incorrect feature description is larger than probability of a correct description.

#### Interpretation

• Systematic deviation between experts.



#### Changes in the SOBEK model CCTV inspection results

| Code | Description       | Class | SOBEK calculation changes  |
|------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| BAF  | surface damage    | 3     | k = 1.7 mm                 |
|      |                   | 4     | k = 3 mm                   |
|      |                   | 4     | k = 4.5 mm                 |
|      |                   | 5     | k = 6 mm                   |
| BBB  | attached deposits | 3     | pipe diameter decrease 15% |
|      |                   | 3     | pipe diameter decrease 20% |
|      |                   | 4     | pipe diameter decrease 35% |
|      |                   | 4     | pipe diameter decrease 45% |
| -    | measured slope    | -     | slope is 0.0               |
|      | (settlement)      |       | slope decreased 35%        |
|      |                   |       | slope decreased 55%        |
|      |                   |       | slope decreased 70%        |



1. Drilling







#### 2. Sample taking







3. Sample storing







4. Sample analysis







Determining of sewer conditions Municipality of the Hague

The most common defects in the municipality of the Hague are: surface damage (BAF) and crack (BAB).

#### CCTV classification for BAF/BAB with associated action

| Classification | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4           | 5           |
|----------------|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|
| BAF            | no | no | no | drill core  | replacement |
| BAB            | no | no | no | replacement | replacement |

#### Drill core classification according to "The Hague"

|   | class 1 | class 2   | class 3   | class 4   | class 5 |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Splitting tensile strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | >6      | 5-6       | 2.6-4.9   | 2.5-2     | <2      |
| Water absorption (%)                            | <8      | 8-9       | 9-11      | 11-13.5   | >13.5   |
| Specific weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )            | >2275   | 2230-2275 | 2190-2229 | 2150-2189 | <2150   |



#### Experimental results – comparison Final conditions assessment





#### Main conclusions



- The quality of final core classification depends on selection of parameters and their classification.
- Different factors like non-uniform deterioration, height/diameter ratio, experimental uncertainty and damage during drilling influence the proper estimation of the splitting tensile strength which makes results unreliable.
- There is no obvious correlation between results of visual inspection and results of drill core analysis.



#### Sewer inspection Laser profiling







#### Experimental results Pipe cross-section with indication of min wall thickness







#### Experimental results

Loss of wall thickness along the length of the pipe





#### Main conclusions

• Laser scanning offers a new and challenging perspective for measuring sewer pipe structural characteristics, such as interior shape and related to this the remaining wall thickness.





#### Sewer inspection Person-entry





#### Sewer inspection Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)





#### Sewer inspection Infra-Red thermography







#### Sewer inspection Smoke test





#### Sewer inspections...

- Ultrasound;
- Microdeflections;
- Advanced systems (e.g. KARO, PIRAT, SSET)...



#### Main conclusions

Each inspection technique has its own limitations:

- •Use/Where to use;
- •What will be found;
- •Advantages;
- •Disadvantages.



|              | Sources of information                 | Examples   |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|
|              | Final design reports                   | hydraulic design report,<br>structural design report   |  |
|              | As-built reports                       | construction report  |  |
| Reports      | System performance reports             | operation report,<br>maintenance report  |  |
|              | All underground infrastructure reports | master plan  |  |
|              | Surveys                                | complains report   |  |
|              | Soil characteristics<br>measurements   | soil texture/structure,<br>aeration, aggressively  |  |
| Measurements | Asset condition investigations         | CCTV inspection,<br>person-entry, laser<br>scanning, GPR, core<br>sampling,<br>KARO, PIRAT, SSET |  |
|              | Hydraulic measurements                 | water level, velocity  |  |
|              | Hydrological measurements              | groundwater table,<br>rainfall measurements  |  |
| •            | Water quality measurements             | temperature, turbidity, conductivity   |  |
|              | External load measurements             | traffic load   |  |



#### Sewer system management process Uncertainties





# How to achieve more effective sewer asset management?

• Need for better understanding of system failure mechanisms.

• Defining what information of what quality is needed at what time for effective asset management.

Determining how this information can be obtained.

• Developing methods for estimating the probability of failure and the criticality of the asset.

