# Primaries and multiple: stack



## **Stack**



# **Stack: 7% wrong velocity**



# Zero-offset gather (no stacking)



#### Effect velocity on stack/migration





# **Migration (zero-offset)**

#### **Stacked section is assumed to be zero-offset**

(as if you shot with 1 geophone, and geophone at shot position)

A zero-offset section:

energy is still not focussed !!!











depth

#### **Diffractor: zero-offset stack**



#### **Diffractor: zero-offset stack**



(60-fold stack)

# **Migration on dipping reflector**



# **Migration on dipping reflector**



# Migration on piece of dipping reflector:

- Moves piece up-dip
- Makes dip steeper
- Makes piece shorter

# **Migration on dipping reflector**



FIG. 4-1. (a) CMP stack, (b) migration, (c) sketch of a prominent diffraction D and a dipping event before (B) and after (A) migration. Migration moves the dipping event B to its assumed true subsurface position A and collapses the diffraction D to its apex P. The dotted line indicates the boundary of the salt dome.

# Apparent dips



# Dip



 $T = 2 R/c = 2 \sqrt{(x^2+z^2)/c}$   $\partial T/\partial x = (2/c) \frac{1}{2} (x^2+z^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2 x$   $= (2/c) x/(x^2+z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ = (2/c) x/R

 $\sin \theta = x/R$ 

So:  $\partial T/\partial x = 2/c \sin \theta$ 

# Double-dip



Zero-offset section: before migration



After migration

# Double-dip (2)



Migration with too low velocity



Migration with too high velocity



Syncline model with ray paths



# Syncline: real data example



# **Migration:**

# **HOW ??**



"Wiggle plot": Signals next to each other



"Image plot": Like a photograph



Migration: Add along hyperbola (we *do* know velocity)











# Exploding-reflector model



Zero-offset response can be simulated by putting sources at reflector and take half the medium velocity









#### Processing

Input: Multi-offset shot records

Results of processing (after migration):

1. Structural map of impedance contrasts

2. Velocity model

# Effect migration



## Conversion from time to depth

Migration examples so far: output section in **time** 

This is called **time migration** 

Still, data needs to be converted to depth: true earth

Simplest case: horizontal layers From root-mean-square velocities to interval velocities: Dix' formula

# Dix' formula: from $V_{RMS}$ to $V_{INTERVAL}$

(pdf-eqs)

# Conversion from time to depth via Dix' formula

Theoretically: valid for plane horizontal layers (Theoretically: no migration necessary)

Practically: still works well for dipping reflectors and mild lateral velocity variations, but then: AFTER (time) migration



# Strategy for seismic migration

Why?

In beginning: only RMS-velocity model known: very crude → time migration (relatively fast/cheap)

When one well available: better (interval-) velocity model → some depth migration possible (relatively slow/expensive)

When more wells available: good (interval-) velocity model known → pre-stack depth migration possible (slow/expensive !\$!)

# Strategy for seismic migration

- Simple structure: post-stack migration
- Complex structure: pre-stack migration (such as DMO)
- Small lateral velocity variations: time migration
- Large lateral velocity variations: depth migration (no hyperbolae any more)





# Stack with no DMO



Migrated stack With no DMO

× Stack with DMO



Migrated stack With DMO

Complex case:

DMO = form of pre-stack time migration necessary

(only root-mean-square-velocity model necessary)

### Large lateral velocity variations: time section



# Large lateral velocity variations: depth section



(b)

#### Large lateral velocity variations

Notice **lateral shift** of faults as well

(so here time-stretching axis will not do !!!)

#### **Kirchhoff Pre-stack Time Migration**



#### **Kirchhoff Pre-stack Depth Migration**



#### **Kirchhoff Pre-stack Time Migration**



# Wave Equation PSDM



# Large lateral velocity variations and complex structure



# Large lateral velocity variations and complex structure



(Interval-) velocity model

# Velocities/Structures and migration



Sketches showing typical subsurface situations and the recommended imaging solutions (After Farmer et al. 1993).

# Strategy for seismic migration

- Simple structure, small lateral velocity variations: post-stack time migration
- Complex structure, small lateral velocity variations: pre-stack time migration (generalisation DMO)
- Simple structure, large lateral velocity variations: post-stack depth migration (pull-up effect)
- Complex structure, large lateral velocity variations : pre-stack depth migration (e.g. side of salt domes)

### Migration: wave theory

Migration is:

 $(X, Y, T) \rightarrow (X, Y, Z)$ 

or, better,

 $(X, Y, Z=0, T) \rightarrow (X, Y, Z, T=0)$ 

# Migration: wave theory

Transform measurements at z=0 for all t into measurements for t=0 for all z !

Two-step procedure:

1: Extrapolation: Bring P(x, y, z=0, t) to  $P(x, y, z=z_m, t)$ 

2: Imaging = Select only t=0:  $P_{migr}(x, y, z=z_m, t) = P(x, y, z=z_m, t=0)$ 

### Wavefield extrapolation



# Wavefield extrapolation



# Wavefield extrapolation (2)



# Zero-offset migration scheme

Zero-offset migration can be applied by:

- Consider zero-offset data as exploding reflector measurements in medium with half the true velocity
- Extrapolating surface data into subsurface
- At *t*=0, the imaged reflector should appear at correct depth (Imaging condition)

Often for geological interpretation, the output is calculated as a function of time.

# Ideal migration when velocity model is known

#### **Pre-stack Depth Migration:**

- Inverse wavefield extrapolation receivers into medium
- Forward wavefield extrapolation source into medium
- Correlate two resulting wavefield
- Extract *t=0* component