## **ROTATING FLUIDIZED BEDS**

Juray De Wilde

Université catholique de Louvain

Materials and Process Engineering, iMMC - IMAP Place Sainte Barbe 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

### juray.dewilde@uclouvain.be

Process Intensification, MSc course 2012-2013, TUDelft

### Introduction

- Process Intensification
- Rotating fluidized beds

### Hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs

- Experimental study
- Theoretical and design considerations

### Potential & applications of RFB-SGs

- Theoretical and CFD study on interfacial mass and heat transfer
- Experimental study of biomass drying and particle coating/granulation
- Numerical study of biomass pyrolysis and Fluid Catalytic Cracking
- Multi-zone operation

### Conclusions and perspectives

OUTLINE

### **Remove limitations of chemical reactors introduced by:**



### **Methods for Process Intensification:**

- 1. Structuring from the macro- to the micro-scale
- 2. High-G
- 3. Multifunctionality
- 4. Hybrid methods
- 5. Alternative forms and sources of energy
- 6. Novel methods of process / plant development and operation

(Stankiewicz and Moulijn, 2004)

### **INTRODUCTION:** Rotating Fluidized Beds



### **INTRODUCTION:** Rotating Fluidized Beds



### **INTRODUCTION:** Rotating Fluidized Beds







(De Wilde & de Broqueville, AIChE J., 2007)

Introduction     OUTLINE     Process Intensification     Rotating fluidized beds	
<ul> <li>Hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs</li> <li>Experimental study</li> <li>Theoretical and CFFE</li> <li>Experimental study</li> <li>Numerical study of b</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Multi-zone operation</li> <li>Conclusions and perspectives</li> </ul>	bling





### **Design:**

- Fluidization chamber
- Chimney

### **Operating conditions:**

- Fluid. gas flow rate
- Solids loading
- Solids feeding rate
- Particle type

**Polymer particles:** 1G Geldart D Cylinders: 2 mm (l), 5 mm (d) Density: 950 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

**Biomass granules:** 1G Geldart D Average size: 4 mm Density: 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Alumina / salt particles: 1G Geldart B Average size: 350 μm Density: 2100 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

**FCC catalyst powder:** 1G Geldart A Average size: 60 μm Density: 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

**Organic powder:** 1G Geldart C Average size: 70 μm Density: 260 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

#### **BED STABILITY AND LARGE-SCALE UNIFORMITY**



(De Wilde & de Broqueville, 2008)

#### **OPERATING CONDITIONS: GAS AND SOLIDS FLOW RATES**



LIMITED RADIAL BED EXPANSION, EVEN RADIAL BED CONTRACTIO№





#### Not high-G => Effect of earth gravity

(Right) Figure 4.2.1. A rotating particle bed in a vortex chamber with a single gas inlet slot, tangentially injecting the gas-solid mixture. Vortex chamber: D = 24 cm, L = 3.5 cm,  $n \cdot s = 1.5$  cm,  $\lambda = 0.02$ . (From Kochetov et al., 1969b.) Solids retention capacity (zero solids feeding rate) as a function of the air flow rate for different gas inlet configurations. 1. Five gas inlet slots in the lower part of the chamber; 2. One single gas inlet slot; 3. Six uniformly distributed gas inlet slots. Vortex chamber as in (a)-(b), but  $n \cdot s = 2$  cm,  $\lambda = 0.027$ . (From Kochetov et al., 1969a.)

#### **OPERATING CONDITIONS: GAS AND SOLIDS FLOW RATES**



Figure 4.2.2. Fluidization of different types of particles in a vortex chamber of given design. (a) Solids retention capacity (zero solids feeding rate) as a function of the air flow rate. Polystyrene particles:  $\circ d_p = 0.25-0.5 \text{ mm}; \Delta d_p = 0.5-1.0 \text{ mm}; \blacktriangle d_p = 1.2-1.5 \text{ mm}; \bullet d_p = 1.5-2.0 \text{ mm}.$  Vortex chamber: D = 24 cm, L = 3.5 cm, n·s = 1.5 cm,  $\lambda = 0.02$ . (From Kochetov et al., 1969b.) (b)-(d) Fluidization at given gas (700 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h) and solids (8.35 g/s) feeding rates. Vortex chamber: D = 24 cm, L = 5 cm, n = 24, s = 3 mm,  $\lambda = 0.096$ . (From Trujillo and De Wilde, 2012b.)



366 s 7

775 s

#### FLOW PATTERN: GAS AND PARTICLES



#### FLOW PATTERN: GAS AND PARTICLES





GASES INJECED VIA SUCCESSIVE GAS INLETS HARDLY MIXED
 PLUG FLOW TYPE PATTERN FOR THE FLUIDIZATION GAS
 RTD GAS DETERMINED BY THE RADIAL VELOCITY COMPONENT 16

#### FLOW PATTERN: GAS AND PARTICLES



GASES INJECED VIA SUCCESSIVE GAS INLETS HARDLY MIXED
 PLUG FLOW TYPE PATTERN FOR THE FLUIDIZATION GAS
 RTD GAS DETERMINED BY THE RADIAL VELOCITY COMPONENT 17



#### COMPARE TO PRESSURE DROP OVER THE PARTICLE BED AS A FUNCTION OF THE SUPERFICIAL AIR VELOCITY IN A CONVENTIONAL FLUIDIZED BED



(Froment, Bischoff and De Wilde, 2010)



#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

**Figure 3.2.1.** (a) Normalized circulation ( $\Gamma = v_t \cdot r$ ) as a function of the normalized radial position in the vortex chamber (subscript  $_0$  indicates at the chamber outer wall) in the absence and presence of particles. Vortex chamber: D = 36 cm, L = 6 cm,  $D_c = 8$  cm, n > 20,  $\lambda = 0.099$ . Operating conditions: solids loading: 1 kg of sand particles ( $\rho_s = 1900 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $d_p = 2 \text{ mm}$ ). (Adapted from Volchkov et al., 1993.) (b) Rotational speed as a function of the solids loading for different radial positions in the vortex chamber. Vortex chamber: D = 30.5 cm, L = 6.3 cm,  $D_c = 15 \rightarrow 8$  cm (convergent), n = 12, s = 0.3 mm,  $\lambda = 0.00376$ . Operating conditions: talc particles ( $\rho_s = 2700 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $d_p = 20 \text{ µm}$ ). (From Anderson et al., 1972.)

20

#### FLOW PATTERN: SOLIDS DISTRIBUTION



**Figure 3.6.3.** Fluidization of fine talc powder ( $d_p = 20 \ \mu m$ ,  $\rho_s = 2700 \ \text{kg/m}^3$ ) in a vortex chamber. Vortex chamber: D = 30.5 cm, L = 6.3 cm, n·s = 0.36 cm,  $\lambda = 0.00376$ . Operating conditions: total gas flow rate: 0.117 Nm<sup>3</sup>/s, solids loading: 0.05 - 0.8 kg. (a) Picture of the rotating particle bed; (b) Radial profiles of the axially averaged particle bed density at different solids loadings measured by x-ray absorption (100 kv, 15ma, typical exposure time: 1s); (c) Typical particle bed density contours deduced from x-ray absorption measurements for a solids loading of 0.4 kg. (From Anderson et al.,

1972.)

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 0.8 kg solids, 4.5 rps



**1G Geldart B-type particles, 36-cm diameter fluidization chamber** 

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### **HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:**

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

**BUBBLING:** 

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING



#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 0.8 kg solids, 4.5 rps



**1G Geldart B-type particles, 36-cm diameter fluidization chamber** 

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### **HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:**

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

**BUBBLING:** 

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

#### 0.8 kg solids, 4.5 rps TIME 15:06:59 DATE 04/24/06 ID 11 REC 1000 PIX 256 EXP 1000 IMG 8/1 -58 → PLAY 25 ET-0000000580 FRAME t=12.10<sup>-3</sup> s

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 0.8 kg solids, 4.5 rps



#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### **HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:**

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

PIX 256 **BUBBLING:** 

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

## 0.8 kg solids, 4.5 rps TIME 15:06:59 DATE 04/24/06 ID 11 REC 1000 EXP 1000 IMG

8/1 -50 → PLAY 25 ET-0000000500 FRAME t=20.10<sup>-3</sup> s

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 1.1 kg solids, 3.5 rps



#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 1.1 kg solids, 3.5 rps



#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

#### HIGH SPEED CCD CAMERA TECHNIQUE:

- 1000 frames / second (up to 10000 possible)
- focus on gas inlet slot zone

BUBBLING:

- MOTION
- INFLUENCE OF THE SOLIDS LOADING

### 1.1 kg solids, 3.5 rps



Main hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs: (based on data with 1G-Geldart B and D type solids in identical reactor)

- Tangential fluidization particle bed, also radial with smaller particles
- Stable & large-scale-uniform bed at sufficiently high solids loadings
- Unique flexibility with respect to the process gas flow rate
- Pressure drop over the gas inlet slots important
- Particle bed well-mixed <=> Gas behavior close to plug flow
- Bubbling with smaller particles, suppressed at high solids loadings
- Advantages of high-G operation confirmed:
  - Dense, more uniform particle bed at high slip velocities => Intensified interfacial mass, heat & momentum transfer
  - Fluidization of smaller particles / fine powders ???
- High particle bed width-to-height ratio
- Static geometry facilitates continuous operation

⇒ Optimization reactor design, i.e. interfacial momentum transfer !

#### OUTLINE Introduction Process Intensification Rotating fluidized beds Hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs • Experimental study Aspects: Theoretical and desi • Influence of the type of particles • Forces, bed expansion & solids losses, bubbling Potential & app • Theoretical and design considerations Theoretical and CFD Gas inlets • Experimental study of Chimney (gas outlet) Numerical study of b Solids feeding & removal Multi-zone operation

Conclusions and perspectives

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES

Identical reactor design & gas and solids feeding rates



1mm HDPE pellets (1G Geldart D)

#### Particle bed:

- Stability
- Density
- Uniformity
  - Tangential
  - Axial !

• Gas-solids separation

300µm salt particles (1G Geldart B)

#### Criteria:

- $F(centrifugal) \ge F(drag, radial)$
- $\Delta P(\text{gas inlet slots}) > \Delta P(\text{bed}) !$

#### **Reactor design:**

- Number of gas inlet slots
- Size gas inlet slots

#### 85µm FCC catalyst (1G Geldart A)



### HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RFB-SGs: Theor. considerations

# FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES INTERFACIAL MOMENTUM TRANSFER (1) Maximize tangential momentum injected for a given gas flow rate: • Flow rate injected ~ $\rho_g u_{inj}$ • Momentum injected ~ $\rho_g u_{inj}^2$ • Slot width $\downarrow$



### HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RFB-SGs: Theor. considerations

#### FLOW PATTERN: INFLUENCE OF THE TYPE OF PARTICLES • Radial drag force ~ $\rho_g(d_p)^2(u_r)^2$ INTERFACIAL MOMENTUM TRANSFER • Centr. Force ~ $\eta^2(u_{ini})^2 \rho_s(d_p)^3/D$ **Theoretical case study:** • 24 cm diameter reactor centrifugal, • 72 slots • ρ<sub>a</sub>: 1 kg/m<sup>3</sup> radial drag Forces • ρ<sub>s</sub><sup>\*</sup>: 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Model assumptions: • 60 µm particles 0.5 mm slots • $v_t = \eta \cdot u_{inj}$ • $\eta = f(reactor design)$ 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.2 0.22 0.24 • uniform, dense turbulent bed Gas flow rate [m3/s] centrifugal centrifugal radial drag Forces Forces radial drag • gas: 600 m<sup>3</sup>/h • gas flow rate: 600 m<sup>3</sup>/h • 0.5 mm slots • 60 µm particles 1.E-05 1.E-04 1.E-03 1.E-04 1.E-03 Particle diameter [m] Slot size [m]

#### **DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

• Reactor diameter = 24 cm • 70  $\mu$ m FCC catalyst (1G Geldart A) • F<sub>s</sub> = 8.35 g/s

#### Different reactor designs, given gas and solids feeding rates



24 slots slot width=3mm u<sub>inj</sub> = 54 m/s 72 slots slot width=0.5mm u<sub>ini</sub> = 108 m/s 36 slots slot width=0.5mm u<sub>ini</sub> = 216 m/s

 $\mathbf{u}_{inj} \uparrow =>$  Solids retention  $\uparrow$




### HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RFB-SGs: Experimental study



**Figure 3.6.4.** Illustration of boundary layer flow. Experimentally measured axial profiles of the radial gas velocity. 1. In the absence of particles; 2. In the presence of particles. Vortex chamber: D = 20 cm, L = 2.6 cm,  $D_c = 10$  cm, n > 20,  $\lambda = 0.0518$ . Operating conditions: solids loading: 0.15 kg of wheat grain particles ( $\rho_s = 1200$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $d_p = 2-5$  mm). [Adapted from Volchkov et al., 1993.]

## HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RFB-SGs: Experimental study

#### **DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**



Behavior at higher solids feeding rates / solids loadings:



Other aspects: • Chimney design

• Solids feeding and removal design



### Other aspects: • Chimney design

• Solids feeding and removal design



Dual-chimney design with cyclone flow exit tube with a guide slot. Gas-liquid flow with specific liquid outlet design. (From Loftus et al., 1992.)

Profiled end wall and concentric ring. (From Kuzmin et al., 2005.)

## Other aspects: • Chimney design

• Solids feeding and removal design



**Figure 4.3.4.** Vortex chamber with secondary gas injection via additional nozzles positioned concentric to the central gas outlet at the end of a tapered annex. (a) Schematic representation; (b) Rate coefficient for particle losses via the gas outlet (chimney) as a function of the normalized secondary gas flow rate for different total gas flow rates.  $\dot{m}_{EW}$ : secondary (End Wall) gas flow rate;  $\dot{m}$ : total gas flow rate. (Adapted from Anderson et al., 1972.)

42

## **Other aspects:** • Chimney design

• Solids feeding and removal design



Figure 4.5.1. (a) Vortex chamber with a cyclone type system for the recovery of the solids. 1. Gas distribution chamber (helix); 2. vortex chamber; 3. end walls; 4. solids feeder; 5. hopper. (From Volchkov et al., 1993.) (b) Different configurations for combining in- and outflow via the slots. (From Goldshtik et al., 1997.)

## Other aspects: • Chimney design

• Solids feeding and removal design



 Gas inlet design to be adapted to the type of particles to be fluidized

Smaller / lighter particles

- => Higher gas inlet velocity
  - Higher pressure drop over the gas inlet slots
- Hydrodynamics in the particle bed freeboard region important

Smaller / lighter particles

- => Smaller chimney diameter (free vortex, Coriolis effect)
  - Separate and carefully designed solids outlet

## FULL PI POTENTIAL: MULTI-ZONE OPERATION: RFB-SG AND CRFB TECHNOLOGIES



- Integrated reaction and catalyst regeneration zones
- Integrated exothermic and endothermic reaction zones
- Short contact time between particles and a very hot gas

## HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RFB-SGs: Experimental study

#### ATTRITION

## **36-cm DIAMETER FLUIDIZATION CHAMBER**

- 1G GELDART D PARTICLES
  - 1.8 kg solids
  - 2 h 45 min residence time

losses (fines): 0.3 - 0.5 g



- 1G GELDART B PARTICLES (SALT)
  - 2.5 kg solids
  - 10 min residence time

losses: coating detached



## Introduction

- Process Intensification
- Rotating fluidized beds

## Hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs

- Experimental study
- Theoretical and design considerations

# Potential & applications of RFB-SGs

- Theoretical and CFD study on interfacial mass and heat transfer
- Experimental study of biomass drying and particle coating/granulation
- Numerical study of biomass pyrolysis and Fluid Catalytic Cracking
- Multi-zone operation

## Conclusions and perspectives

OUTLINE

## **POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs:**

**Opportunities:** Process Intensification potential:

- Dense bed at high gas(-solid slip) velocities
- Improved bed uniformity (reduced bubbling, temperature)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Increased gas-solid mass and heat transfer coefficients
  - $\Rightarrow$  Improved gas-solid contact
- Unique flexibility in the fluidization gas flow rate
- High particle bed width-to-height ratio
  - $\Rightarrow$  Short gas phase residence times
  - $\Rightarrow$  Higher reaction temperatures, more active catalysts
- Multi-zone operation
  - $\Rightarrow$  Higher catalyst circulation rates



## **POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs:**

# Challenges:

- Small bed height (order of cm) ) Small gas phase
- High gas velocities (radial)

Small gas phase residence time

Conversion limited ?

Gas phase density variations due to reactions

Effect on stability and density of the bed ?

- Process Intensification factor:
  - Intrinsic:
    - Optimization geometry
  - Full potential:
    - Higher reaction temperatures, more active catalysts
    - Multi-zone operation
- Particle bed mixing: for reacting solids





- RESPONSE OF PARTICLE BED TEMPERATURE TO STEP CHANGE IN THE FLUIDIZATION GAS TEMPERATURE FROM 300 K TO 400 K AT TIME t<sub>o</sub>
- EULERIAN-EULERIAN APPROACH WITH KINETIC THEORY OF GRANULAR FLOW
- PARTICLES: 700 μm, 2500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
- RESTITUTION COEFFICIENT: PARTICLE-PARTICLE: 0.95
- **RESTITUTION COEFFICIENT: PARTICLE-WALL: 0.9**
- SPECULARITY COEFFICIENT: 0.5
- SOLIDS LOADING: 33.75 kg/m<sub>length fluid. chamber</sub>
- COMPARISON CONVENTIONAL FLUIDIZED BED AND ROTATING FLUIDIZED BED IN A STATIC GEOMETRY

#### POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs: CFD study interfacial heat transfer



## POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs: Exp. study of biomass drying

#### **BATCH AND CONTINUOUS OPERATION**







Continuous exercitor	Conventional	Rotating fluidized bed	
Continuous operation	fluidized bed	in a static geometry	
Dimensions	D = 0.10 m	D = 0.43 m	
	H = 2.00 m	D(chimney) = 0.10  m	
		L = 0.05 m	
Gas distribution	Cone and	72, 30° inclined	
	perforated plate	gas inlet slots	
Solids feeding	Via side wall	Via end plate	
_	at $h = m$		
Particle characteristics	Pelletized wood, cylindrically shaped,		
	$d_p = 4 \text{ mm}, h_p = 4$	4 mm diffusion limitations)	
<b>Operating conditions</b>			
T [K]		318	
P <sub>out</sub> [Pa]		101300	
Gas mass flow rate [Nm <sup>3</sup> /h]	110	700	
Solids mass flow rate	1, 2, 3, 6, 9	3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18	
[g wet solids / s]			
Solids inlet humidity		850	
[g water / kg dry solids]			

Temps [s]

Conv. FB



**RFB-SG** 



**POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs:** Exp. study of biomass drying

## POTENTIAL APPLS. OF RFB-SGs: Coating/granulation of cohesive particles

Operating variable	Units	Value				
Air flow rate	Nm³/h	250	250 400			
Pressure before air distributor	mbar	300-370	540-650			
Air inlet temperature	$\Im$		55			
Powder feeding rate	g/s	2	2	2, 3.72, 6.17		
Air atomisation pressure	bar	1.5	1.5	3		
Coating solution feeding rate	g/s	1	1	1.63		
Droplets mean size	μm	70	70	60		
Coating solution feeding time	S	5, 15, 30, 60	3, 5, 15, 30, 60	5		
Temperature of the water in the double	$\mathfrak{C}$		90			
casing of the coating solution tank						
Weight percent of coating material in	wt%		50			
the coating solution						

#### **Measurements:**

- Operating conditions (P, T, Fg, ...)
- Quantity of coated particles produced
- Agglomeration: Particle size distribution
- Coating quality:
  - Active component release test
  - SEM pictures



## POTENTIAL APPLS. OF RFB-SGs: Coating/granulation of cohesive particles

Other operating conditions: atomising air pressure of 1.5 bar, solids feeding rate of 2 g/s



#### Visual inspection (SEM):

- Limited agglomeration (< 4 particles)</li>
- Low level considering droplet size & relatively low T



## POTENTIAL APPLS. OF RFB-SGs: Coating/granulation of cohesive particles

#### Particles morphology & Coating quality: Active component release test

Powder	Core material component %	Active component release	Coating (g/g)	Active component release reduction		
Original powder	73.7	0.6	-	-		
250 Nm3/h coated powder	46.4	0.24	0.59	60%		
400 Nm3/h coated powder	46.6	0.24	0.58	60%		

- 60% reduction release active component
  - $\rightarrow$  few cracks & cavities
- 58 g<sub>coating</sub> /100 g<sub>uncoated</sub>
   → on average 1.22 µm coating (assuming 70µm spherical uncoated particles)





## Simulation set-up: Model

Fluid Catalytic Cracking

Hydrodynamics:	Reaction kinetics:
<ul> <li>Two-fluid model</li> <li>Kinetic Theory of Granular Flow</li> <li>k-ε turbulence model</li> <li>Solid walls B.C.: <ul> <li>Gas phase: no slip</li> <li>Solid phase: partial slip</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10-lump model</li> <li>C-lump split (LG and coke)</li> <li>Heavy aromatics adsorption</li> <li>Catalyst deactivation (coke)</li> </ul>
Grid indonondonov:	

## **Grid independency:**

- Verify bubble formation
- Grid size < 0.2 mm
- Time step <  $1 \times 10^{-5} s$

- Feasibility and intrinsic process intensification (conventional cracking catalyst & temperature):
  - Conversion with typical RFB-SG reactor dimensions
  - Influence gas phase density variations on hydrodynamics
  - Intrinsic Process Intensification factor (comparison with riser technology)
  - Cat-to-Oil ratio and multi-zone operation
- Full process intensification potential:
  - Higher cracking temperatures
  - More active catalysts



- Conversion not as high as in a CFB riser (non-optimized geometry) but sufficiently high
- PI factor between 7 and 16, depending on the GO conversion



Т	χG	χgo	$\chi_{\rm G}/\chi_{\rm GO}$	χlg	$\langle \mathfrak{R}_c  angle$	$\langle \mathbf{R'} \rangle  imes 10^4$	$ au_{ m cat}$	Ν	<i>m</i> <sub>cat</sub>
[K]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	$[\text{kmol}/(\text{m}^3\text{s})]$	[kg <sub>coke</sub> /(kg <sub>cat</sub> s)]	<b>[S]</b>	[-]	[kg/s]
775	23.38	32.14	72.75	7.09	0.0016	0.93	0.81	4.03	181.4
800	25.12	35.85	70.07	8.68	0.0019	1.16	0.64	3.22	222.2
820	26.16	38.55	67.84	10.03	0.0022	1.38	0.54	2.70	256.9
840	27.13	41.38	65.55	11.53	0.0025	1.63	0.46	2.28	295.1
860	28.38	45.02	63.03	13.46	0.0030	1.89	0.40	2.01	344.7
880	28.83	47.63	60.53	15.21	0.0034	2.20	0.34	1.71	389.3

Full PI potential: Higher cracking temperatures



Based on a cat. coke content increase from 0.15 to 0.1575 wt%



Act	χG	<b>χ</b> GO	$\chi_{\rm G}/\chi_{\rm GO}$	χlg	$\langle \mathfrak{R}_c  angle$	$\langle \mathbf{R'} \rangle \times 10^4$	$ au_{ m cat}$	Ν	<i>m</i> <sub>cat</sub>
[-]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	$[\text{kmol}/(\text{m}^3\text{s})]$	$[kg_{coke}/(kg_{cat}s)]$	<b>[S]</b>	[-]	[kg/s]
1a	23.38	32.14	72.75	7.09	0.0016	0.93	0.81	4.03	181.4
1.5a	27.33	37.81	72.28	8.47	0.0019	1.24	0.60	2.97	218.3
2a	31.17	43.41	71.82	9.90	0.0022	1.51	0.50	2.39	253.0
3a	38.47	54.78	70.23	13.20	0.0029	1.88	0.40	2.08	337.1
4a	41.21	59.69	69.05	14.94	0.0033	2.29	0.33	1.69	383.2
5a	43.02	63.38	67.88	16.46	0.0037	2.67	0.28	1.44	422.9
6a	44.67	67.01	66.66	18.06	0.0040	3.01	0.25	1.28	464.3





- PI POTENTIAL OF RFB-SGs FOR FCC CONFIRMED
- PI BY ABOUT ONE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE WHEN USING THE EQUAL CATALYST AND CRACKING TEMPERATURE THAN IN A CONVENTIONAL CFB RISER
- ADDITIONAL PI BY USING MORE ACTIVE CATALYST OR HIGHER CRACKING TEMPERATURE
- OF INTEREST FOR OTHER HETEROGENEOUS CATALYTIC PROCESSES (MTO)
- CFD STUDY:
  - DESIGN ASPECTS: IMPORATNCE CONFIRMED
  - OPERATING CONDITIONS: THINKING OUT OF THE BOX !
- FULL PI POTENTIAL:
  - HIGHER CRACKING TEMPERATURES
  - MORE ACTIVE CATALYST
  - INCREASED CATALYST CIRCULATION => MAY REQUIRE MULTI-ZONE OPERATION

### POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF RFB-SGs: Multi-zone operation

#### Full PI potential: Multi-zone operation



- Integrated reaction and catalyst regeneration zones
- Integrated exothermic and endothermic reaction zones
- Short contact time between particles and a very hot gas

## Introduction

- Process Intensification
- Rotating fluidized beds

## Hydrodynamic characteristics of RFB-SGs

- Experimental study
- Theoretical and design considerations

# Potential & applications of RFB-SGs

- Theoretical and CFD study on interfacial mass and heat transfer
- Experimental study of biomass drying and particle coating/granulation
- Numerical study of biomass pyrolysis and Fluid Catalytic Cracking
- Multi-zone operation

# Conclusions and perspectives

OUTLINE



- Axel de Broqueville
- Waldo Rosales Trujillo
- Nicolas Staudt
- Philippe Eliaers
- Thomas Lescot
- Luc Wautier
- Fonds National de Recherche Scientifique (FNRS)
- Calcul Intensif et Stockage de Masse (CISM UCL)



Chemical Reactor Analysis and Design



Froment | Bischoff | De Wilde

Srd Edition

Wiley, September 2010

# Thank you for your interest

Juray.DeWilde@UCLouvain.be

## REFERENCES

Ahmadzadeh A., Arastoopour H., Teymoour F., "Rotating fluidized bed an efficient polymerization reactor", 2005 AIChE Annual meeting, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 2005.

Anderson, L.A., Hasinger, S., and Turman, B.N., "Two Component Vortex Flow Studies, with Implications for the Colloid Core Nuclear Rocket Concept", A.I.A.A. paper, 71, 637, 1971.

Anderson, L.A., Hasinger, S.H., and Turman, B.N., "Two-Component Vortex Flow Studies of the Colloid Core Nuclear Rocket", J. Spacecraft, 9:(5), 311-317, 1972.

Chen Y.-M., "Fundamentals of a centrifugal fluidized bed", AIChE J., 33, 722-728, 1987.

Chevray R., Chan Y.N.I., Hill F.B., "Dynamics of bubbles and entrained particles in the rotating fluidized bed", AIChE J., 26, 390–398, 1980.

de Broqueville, A., De Wilde, J., "Numerical Investigation of Gas-Solid Heat Transfer in Rotating Fluidized Beds in a Static Geometry", Chem. Eng. Sci., 64:(6), 1232-1248, 2009 (doi:10.1016/j.ces.2008.11.009).

De Wilde, J., "Rotating Fluidized Beds in a Static Geometry: from Theory to Industrial Applications", invited presentation, the Prof. Robert Pfeffer seminar, International Fine Particles Research Institute (IFPRI), IFPRI Annual General Meeting 2010, Liège (Château de Colonster), Belgium, June 30, 2010.

De Wilde, J., de Broqueville, A., "Rotating Fluidized Beds in a Static Geometry: Experimental Proof of Concept", AIChE J., 53:(4), 793-810, 2007 (DOI: 10.1002/aic.11139).

De Wilde, J., Habibi, A., de Broqueville, A., "Experimental and Numerical Study of Rotating Fluidized Beds in a Static Geometry", Int. J. Chem. Reactor Eng., 5, Article A106, 2007.

De Wilde, J., de Broqueville, A., "Experimental Investigation of a Rotating Fluidized Bed in a Static Geometry", Powder Technol., 183:(3), 426-435, 2008 (doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2008.01.024).

De Wilde, J., de Broqueville, A., "Experimental Study of Fluidization of 1G-Geldart D-Type Particles in a Rotating Fluidized Bed with a Rotating Chimney", AIChE J., 54:(8), 2029-2044, 2008b (DOI 10.1002/aic.11532).

De Wilde, J., de Broqueville, A., "A Rotating Chimney for Compressing Rotating Fluidized Beds", Powder Technol., 199:(1), 87-94, 2010 (doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2009.04.017).

Dodson, C., "Torbed or not Torbed?", Chem. Eng. - London, 605, 13-14, 1996.

Dvornikov N.A., Belousov P.P., "Investigation of a fluidized bed in a vortex chamber", J. Appl. Mech. Technic. Phys., 52:(2), 206-211, 2011.

Eliaers, P., Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Production and coating of fine particles in a rotating fluidized bed in a static fluidization chamber: Evaluation of different technologies", report for FrieslandCampina, 2011.

Eliaers, P., De Wilde, J., "Drying of biomass pellets: Experimental study and comparison of the performance of a conventional fluidized bed and a rotating fluidized bed in a static geometry", Drying Technol., in press, 2012.

Eliaers, P., De Wilde, J., "Wet coating of Geldart-C type particles in a rotating fluidized bed in a static geometry", 2012 Annual AIChE Meeting, Pittsburgh, USA, Oct. 28 – Nov. 2, 2012b.

Ergun S., "Fluid Flow through Packed Columns", Chem. Eng. Progr., 48, 89, 1952.

Fan L.T., Chang C.C., Yu Y.S., Takahashi T., Tanaka Z., "Incipient fluidization condition for a centrifugal fluidized bed", AIChE J., 31, 999–1009, 1985.

Folsom, B.A., "I. Two-phase flow in vertical and annular fluidized beds", PhD thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1974.

Froment, G.F., Bischoff, K.B., De Wilde, J., Chemical Reactor Analysis and Design, Wiley Series in Chemical Engineering, 3rd ed., Sep. 2010, ISBN 9780470565414.

Goldshtik M., Hussain F., Yao R.J., "The vortex liquid piston engine and some other vortex technologies", Sādhanā, 22:(3), 323-367, 1997.

Kochetov, L.M., Sazhin, B.S., Karlik, E.A., "Experimental determination of the optimal ratios of structural dimensions in the whirl chamber for drying granular materials", Khimicheskoe i Neftyanoe Mashinostroenie, 2, 10, 1969.

Kochetov, L.M., Sazhin, B.S., Karlik, E.A., "Hydrodynamics and heat exchange in vortex drying chambers", Khimicheskoe i Neftyanoe Mashinostroenie, 9, 10, 1969b.

Kroger D.G., Levy E.K., Chen J.C., "Flow characteristics in packed and fluidized rotating beds", Powder Technol., 24, 9–18, 1979.

Kuzmin, A.O., Pravdina, M.Kh., Yavorsky, A.I., Yavorsky, N.I., Parmon, V.N., "Vortex centrifugal bubbling reactor", Chem. Eng. J., 107, 55–62, 2005.

## REFERENCES

Lewellen, W.S., and Stickler, D.B., "Two-Phase Vortex Investigation Related to the Colloidal Core Nuclear Reactor", ARL TR 72-0037, Aerospace Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH, USA, 1972.

Loftus, P.J., Stickler, D.B., Diehl, R.C., "A confined vortex scrubber for fine particulate removal from flue gases", Env. Progr., 11:(1), 27-32, 1992.

Quevedo J.A., Nakamura H., Shen Y., Dave R.N., Pfeffer R., "Fluidization of nanoparticles in a rotating fluidized bed", 2005 AIChE Annual meeting, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 2005.

Quevedo J., Pfeffer R., Shen Y., Dave R., Nakamura H., Watano S., "Fluidization of nanoagglomerates in a rotating fluidized bed", AIChE J., 52, 2401–2412, 2006.

Qian G.-H., Bagyi I., Pfeffer R., Shaw H., Stevens J.G., "A parametric study of a horizontal rotating fluidized bed using slotted and sintered metal cylindrical gas distributors", Powder Technol., 100, 190–199, 1998.

Qian G.-H., Bagyi I., Pfeffer R., Shaw H., Stevens J.G., "Particle mixing in rotating fluidized beds: inferences about the fluidized state", AIChE J., 45, 1401–1410, 1999.

Qian G.-H., Bagyi I., Burdick I.W., Pfeffer R., Shaw H., Stevens J.G., "Gas-solid fluidization in a centrifugal field", AIChE J., 47, 1022–1034, 2001.

Saunders J.H., "Particle entrainment from rotating fluidized beds", Powder Technol., 47, 211–217, 1986.

Sazhin B.S., Kochetov L.M., Belousov A.S., "Retention capacities and flow patterns of vortex contactors", Theor. Found. Chem. Eng., 42:(2), 125-135, 2008.

Shu, J., Lakshmanan, V.I., Dodson, C.E., "Hydrodynamic study of a toroidal fluidized bed reactor", Chem. Eng. Process., 39:(6), 499–506, 2000.

Smulsky J.J., "The weighted layer of particles in the cylindrical vortex chamber", J. Appl. Chem., 8, 1782-1789, 1983.

Staudt, N., de Broqueville, A., Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Low-Temperature Pyrolysis and Gasification of Biomass: Numerical Evaluation of the Process Intensification Potential of Rotatingand Circulating Rotating Fluidized Beds in a Static Fluidization Chamber", Int. J. Chem. Reactor Eng., 9, paper A43, 2011.

Stickler, D.B., Lakshmikantha, H., Lewellen, W.S., "Heat Transfer and Containment Processes in Two-Phase Cavity Nuclear Reactor", ARL TR 74-0007, Aerospace Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH, USA, 1974.

Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation of Fluid Catalytic Cracking in a Rotating Fluidized Bed in a Static Geometry", Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 49:(11), 5288-5298, 2010 (DOI: 10.1021/ie901610f).

Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Experimental Study of the Fluidization of Geldart A Type Catalyst in a Rotating Fluidized Bed in a Static Geometry", 2011 AIChE Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, Oct. 16-21, 2011.

Trujillo, W.R., Abouahi, M., De Wilde, J., "Design aspects of rotating fluidized beds in a static fluidization chamber", XIII<sup>eme</sup> Congrès de la Société Française de Génie des Procédés (SFGP 2011), Lille, France, Nov. 29 - Dec. 1, 2011.

Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Fluid Catalytic Cracking in a Rotating Fluidized Bed in a Static Geometry: A CFD Analysis Accounting for the Distribution of the Catalyst Coke Content", Powder Technol., 221, 36-46, 2012 (doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2011.12.015).

Trujillo, W.R., De Wilde, J., "Theoretical and Experimental Study of Fine Particle Fluidization in a Rotating Fluidized Bed in a Static Geometry", internal report, 2012b.

Turton, R., Cheng, X.X., "The scale-up of spray coating processes for granular solids and tablets", Powder Technol., 150:(2), 78–85, 2005.

Volchkov E.P., Terekhov V.I., Kaidanik A.N., Yadykin A.N., "Aerodynamics and heat and mass transfer of fluidized particle beds in vortex chambers", Heat Transfer Eng., 14:(3), 36-47, 1993.

Volchkov E.P., Dvornikov N.A., Yadykin A.N., "Characteristic features of heat and mass transfer in a fluidized bed in a vortex chamber", Heat Transfer Res., 34:(7&8), 486-498, 2003.

Watano S., Nakamura H., Hamada K., Wakamatsu Y., Tanabe Y., Dave R.N., Pfeffer R., "Fine particle coating by a novel rotating fluidized bed coater", Powder Technol., 141, 172–176, 2004.

Watano S., Imada Y., Hamada K., Wakamatsu Y., Tanabe Y., Dave R.N., Pfeffer R., "Microgranulation of fine powders by a novel rotating fluidized bed granulator", Powder Technol., 131, 250–255, 2003.

Wellwood, G.A., "Hydrodynamic Behaviour of the Torbed Reactor Operating in Fine Particle Mode", PhD, University of Queensland, 2000.