## Dynamics and Stability AE3-914

Answers to recommended exercises—Week 4

6.1 The equilibrium points and their nature are:

- (a) x = 0 is unstable;  $x = \pm 1$  are stable
- (b) x = 0 is stable
- (c) x = 0 is unstable; x = 1 is stable

*Hint:* The equilibrium points are found from  $\dot{x} = \dot{y} = 0$ , where  $y = \dot{x}$ . The characteristics of each equilibrium point are found from the eigenvalues of the matrix corresponding to the linearisation of the system of differential equations provided by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= y \\ \dot{y} &= f(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

where f is problem dependent. Alternatively, the potential V can be analysed for maxima and minima on the equilibrium points.

6.14 If  $\omega^2 < k/m$  the system is stable and if  $\omega^2 > k/m$  it is unstable. If a damper with coefficient c is added, the unstable configuration remains unstable because there is always a positive eigenvalue. The stable configuration can exhibit two different cases: If  $0 < (c/m)^2 + 4(\omega^2 - k/m) < (c/m)^2$  the eigenvalues are real and negative and the system is overdamped. Qualitatively, there will be no oscillation about the equilibrium position.

If  $(c/m)^2 + 4(\omega^2 - k/m) < 0$  the eigenvalues have a negative real part and an imaginary part, which situation corresponds to a subcritically damped system. There will be an oscillation about the equilibrium position.

*Hint:* The first case can be solved on an effective potential, but the second case must be solved by linearisation of the equations of motion (why?).

**6.16** The equilibrium position  $\theta = 0$  is stable if P < 2K/L, where L = OA = AB and  $\theta$  is the angle between OA and OB.

*Hint:* When stating the equation of motion, notice that P is an applied force that should be converted to a generalised force conjugate to the coordinate  $\theta$  by means of, e.g., the method of virtual work. Notice also that the angle entering the elastic potential energy is  $2\theta$  rather than  $\theta$ . Use a method of your choice, but use it *properly*!

6.29 Steady motion is found when



The eigenvalues of the linearised equation of motion in  $\theta$  are always negative, so the position is always stable. Notice that the position  $\theta = 0$  is attained when  $\dot{\phi}^2 = g/l$ . For initial values of  $\dot{\phi}^2$  smaller than g/l the angle  $\theta$  would be undefined. Physically this would correspond to a position in which the pendulum remains vertical but which cannot be viewed as steady motion, because in such a case the integral of motion corresponding to the coordinate  $\phi$  would identically vanish and the equation for  $\theta$  would degenerate to that of a simple pendulum.

*Warning:* A possible error could be to assume that  $\dot{\phi}$  is constant. In such a case we get three values of  $\theta$  for which an equilibrium situation is possible:

$$\theta = 0, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{g}{l\dot{\phi}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \pi$$

The position  $\theta = \pi$  is always unstable. The position  $\theta = 0$  is stable when  $\dot{\phi}^2 < g/l$ and unstable when  $\dot{\phi}^2 > g/l$ . The position  $\cos \theta = g/(l\dot{\phi}^2)$  is always stable. Notice that this position is only possible when the position  $\theta = 0$  has become unstable. The difference with the actual case is that if  $\dot{\phi}$  is enforced to be constant we cannot have conservation of angular momentum when  $\theta$  changes and that, formally, we cannot speak of steady motion.

- **6.31** The position  $\theta = 0$  is stable when  $mgl < 2ka^2$ , where l is the length of the pendulum.
- 6.43 The vertical solution is stable when

$$mg > \frac{k(2a-l)}{4}$$

**6.46** The bar is horizontal when  $\theta = 0$  or  $\theta = \pi$ . Notice that the bar rotates with constant angular velocity  $\omega$  about a vertical, which makes this problem essentially different from 6.29. A careful analysis of the eigenvalues of the linearised equation around the equilibrium points (or of the maxima/minima of the effective potential) reveals the following:

For  $\theta = 0$ : If  $a > l/\sqrt{3}$  then the position is stable when

$$\omega^2 < \frac{3g\sqrt{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}l^2}}{3a^2 - l^2}$$

If  $l/2 < a < l/\sqrt{3}$  then the position is stable when

$$\omega^2 > \frac{3g\sqrt{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}l^2}}{3a^2 - l^2}$$

Since the right hand term in the latter equation is always negative in the corresponding interval of a, it can be stated that it will always be smaller than  $\omega^2$ . So, in such a case, the position is stable for any  $\omega$ .

For  $\theta = \pi$ :

If  $a > l/\sqrt{3}$  the position would be stable when

$$\omega^2 < \frac{-3g\sqrt{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}l^2}}{3a^2 - l^2}$$

but since the right hand side is negative in such a case, no  $\omega$  can satisfy this equation. So the position is always unstable.

If  $l/2 < a < l/\sqrt{3}$  the position is stable when

$$\omega^2 > \frac{-3g\sqrt{a^2 - \frac{1}{4}l^2}}{3a^2 - l^2}$$

where the right hand side is positive. Thus, for sufficiently large  $\omega$  the upper horizontal position is stable.

It is left to the reader to provide an intuitive interpretation of why the predicted stable configurations are actually stable.