#### **Introduction to wind energy**

#### Relevant to offshore wind farm design



**Offshore Wind Farm Design** 

**Michiel Zaaijer** 

2007-2008



1

DUWIND

**Delft University of Technology** 

## **Overview**

- Rotor aerodynamics
- Power and load control
- Energy production
- Turbine technology
- Multi-MW turbines turbines



#### **Rotor aerodynamics**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Determining power and loads**

**0.** The approach

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Blade element – momentum method**

# 1. Momentum balance2. Blade elementsMacroscopic perspectiveLocal perspectiveLoads from conservation lawsLoads from lift and drag



#### **Determining power and loads**

1. Momentum balance

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### Mass, momentum and energy flows



#### **Actuator disc – represents rotor**

2007-2008





#### **Conservation laws**



Thrust  $\equiv$  change in momentum

 $D = m (U-V_e)$ 

Power extracted at rotor disc

 $D V_1 = m V_1 (U - V_e)$ 

Kinetic energy loss in flow

 $\frac{1}{2} m (U^2 - V_e^2) =$  $\frac{1}{2} m (U - V_e) (U + V_e)$ 

Power  $\equiv$  Energy loss

 $V_1 = \frac{1}{2} (U + V_e)$ 

2007-2008



## **Dimensionless induction factor**

Define induction factor (dimensionless)  $a = (U-V_1)/U$ Rearrange  $V_1 = U (1-a)$ Substitute on previous page  $V_e = U (1-2a)$ 





## **Substitution with induction factor**

#### Mass flow

 $m = \rho V_1 A = \rho U (1-a) A$ 

#### Thrust

D = m (U-V<sub>e</sub>) = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 A 4a(1-a)$$

#### Power

P = 
$$\frac{1}{2} m (U^2 - V_e^2)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} m (U - V_e)(U + V_e) = \frac{1}{2} \rho U^3 A 4a(1-a)^2$ 



#### **Dimensionless thrust and power**

#### Define

#### Dimensionless coefficients become

$$C_d = 4 a (1-a)$$
  
 $C_p = 4 a (1-a)^2$ 

2007-2008



#### **Intermezzo: Optimum power**



The Betz optimum:  $C_p$  is maximum when

$$\frac{dC_p}{da} = 0$$

Result

a = 1/3

$$C_{P,max} = 16/27 \approx 0.59$$
  
 $C_d = 8/9$ 

2007-2008



## **Annular approach**

Divide stream tube in concentric annuli, parallel to flow



Assumptions

- 1. Annuli don't interact
  - Induction factor 'a' independent of other annuli
  - No flow from one annulus to another)
- 2. No tangential change within one annulus
  - Induction factor 'a' constant over annulus

2007-2008



#### Mass, thrust and power per annulus

#### Mass flow

 $m = \rho V_1 A$ dm = mass per annulus =  $\rho U$  (1-a)  $2\pi r dr$ 

 $= \rho U (1-a) A$ 

#### Thrust

 $D = m (U-V_e)$  $= \frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 4a(1-a) A$ dD = thrust per annulus =  $\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 4a(1-a) 2\pi r dr$ 

#### Power

P =  $\frac{1}{2}$  m (U<sup>2</sup>-V<sub>e</sub><sup>2</sup>) =  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\rho$  U<sup>3</sup> 4a(1-a)<sup>2</sup> A dP = power per annulus =  $\frac{1}{2}\rho U^3 4a(1-a)^2 2\pi r dr$ 



#### **Determining power and loads**

2. Blade elements of a rotor

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## **Cross-section of blade**

Consider cross-section of blade, perpendicular to blade axis,

with velocity vectors

U(1-a) and  $\Omega r$ 



2007-2008







## Lift and drag (2-dimensional flow)



Lift coefficient



Drag coefficient

2007-2008







#### Attached flow



## Separated flow (stalled)





## **Thrust and power**

Contribution to thrust dD per blade element dr

 $dD = N (1 \cos(\phi) + d \sin(\phi)) dr \qquad N = \text{Number of blades}$   $dD = N (C_1 \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{res}^2 \cos(\phi) + C_d \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{res}^2 \sin(\phi)) c dr$  $dD = N (C_1 \frac{1}{2} \rho (\Omega r)^2 + C_d \frac{1}{2} \rho (U(1-a))^2) c dr$ 

Contribution to power dP per blade element dr  $dP = N (1 \sin(\phi) - d \cos(\phi)) \Omega r dr$  $dP = N (C_1 \frac{1}{2} \rho (U(1-a))^2 - C_d \frac{1}{2} \rho (\Omega r)^2) c \Omega r dr$ 



#### **Determining power and loads**

**3. Blade element – momentum method: BEM** 

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Combining two theories**



2007-2008



## **Solving induction factor with BEM**

For each annulus:

Choose an initial value for 'a'.

 $\sum$  Use this to calculate angle of attack and from this C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>d</sub>

Calculate axial aerodynamic force on blade element: dD

From dD follows a new value for 'a' with momentum theory

Continue until 'a' reaches a constant value.

2007-2008



## **Solving loads and power with BEM**

Once 'a' is known for all annuli, integrate blade elements

Thrust on rotor

$$D = \int_{0}^{R} N \left( C_{1} \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( \Omega r \right)^{2} + C_{d} \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( U(1-a) \right)^{2} \right) c dr$$

Power on main shaft

$$P = \int_{0}^{R} N (C_{1} \frac{1}{2} \rho (U(1-a))^{2} - C_{d} \frac{1}{2} \rho (\Omega r)^{2}) c \Omega r dr$$



## **Additions to BEM**

- Tip losses / infinite number of blades
- Wake rotation (tangential forces and velocities)

Included in all state-of-the-art calculation tools



#### **Characterising rotor aerodynamics**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## The $C_P - \lambda$ curve





## **Cp-λ curves for different pitch**





#### Wind turbine control

Aerodynamic aspects

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Power and thrust curves**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### "Ideal" Power and thrust curves





## **Terminology for regions of operation**



#### **Partial load – power control**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Ideal power control – variable speed**





#### **Constant speed power control**




## Power, RPM, wind speed





## **Power difference (partial load)**



## Full load – power control

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

# **Control options (constant speed)**



2007-2008



## **Passive stall control**





## **Passive stall power curves**

Comparison of power curves



2007-2008



## **Pitch to vane power curve**

Output curve for Vestas V80 - 2,0 MW



Vind velocity m/s



43

2007-2008

### **Full load - Loads**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Non-ideal thrust of stall control**





## **Dynamic loads of pitch control**



#### **Dynamics thrust of pitch control**







## Load alleviation: gust response

Use rotor as a flywheel

- $\rightarrow$  Increase speed to absorb energy
- $\rightarrow$  Decrease speed to release energy
- $\rightarrow$  Reduce torque variations & peaks
- $\rightarrow$  Reduce power variations
- $\rightarrow$  Axial loads are NOT reduced!





## **Load alleviation: Peak shaving**



2007-2008



# **Energy production**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## Wind speed distribution





## **Weibull distribution**





## **Weibull distribution: examples**



2007-2008



## Shape factor vs average wind speed

$$a = \frac{V_{avg}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{k})}$$

With

a = Weibull scale factor

 $V_{avg}$  = Annual average wind speed

 $\Gamma$  = Gamma function

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta} d\beta$$
$$\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{k}) \approx \left(0.568 + \frac{0.434}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

Example

$$\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{k}) \approx 0.886$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{avg} > a$$

2007-2008



## Wind speed vs height



Offshore  $\alpha \approx 0.08 - 0.14$ Guideline  $\alpha = 0.11$ 

2007-2008



## **Calculation of annual yield**









# **Energetic efficiency (2)**

V<sub>cut-in</sub> Speed control Pitch/stall V<sub>cut-out</sub> V<sub>rated</sub>

V<sub>cut-in</sub> : Hardly affects E, only interest is public perception Speed control: Some effect on E

- Pitch/stall : Some effect on E
  - : Limited effect on E, primarily determined by loads
  - : Has largest influence on E

2007-2008



# **Capacity factor (1)**

Yearly energy production  $E = T \int_{V_{ci}}^{V_{co}} P_{el}(V) \cdot f(V) dV$ 

The same yearly production would be generated in an equivalent amount of time  $T_{equivalent}$  running at full power:

$$E = P_{rated} T_{equivalent}$$

 $cf = \frac{T_{equivalent}}{T_{year}}$  is called the capacity factor

2007-2008



# **Capacity factor (2)**





 $cf \approx 0$ 

 $cf \approx 1$ 

A high capacity factor is not necessarily good! There is an economic optimum

2007-2008



## **Characteristic values for cf**

First generation turbines: $cf \approx 0.2$ Present generation: $cf \approx 0.25 - 0.3$ Offshore wind farms: $cf \approx 0.35 - 0.45$ 

#### For comparison

The capacity factor of all the power generation ability mounted in the Netherlands :

cf =  $\frac{\text{total electricity consumption}}{\text{maximum electricity production} \cdot 8760} \approx 0.5$ 

(8760 is the number of hours in a year)

2007-2008



# **Energy losses**

$$P(V)_{\text{Electrical}} = P(V)_{\text{Aero}} \cdot \eta_{\text{Drive train}} \cdot \eta_{\text{Generator}} \cdot \eta_{\text{Conversion}}$$

P-V curve of manufacturer includes these losses

#### Additional farm related losses:

- Availability of the turbines
- Availability of the electrical infrastructure
- Aerodynamic farm losses (wakes)
- Transformation and transmission losses

#### Sources

- Models
- Guestimates (literature)





# **Estimating energy production (1)**

Energy yield = number of hours/year \* installed power \* capacity factor

#### e.g.: 8760 h \* 108 MW \* $0.35 \approx 331$ GWh / y for offshore wind park Egmond aan Zee (Average Dutch household: 3.2 MWh / y)

Only applicable for order of magnitude guess !! Wind speed distribution (based on data) indispensable

2007-2008



# **Estimating energy production (2a)**





# **Estimating energy production (2b)**

Estimate wind speed distribution





## **Turbine technology**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### **Blades**

2007-2008



Delft University of Technology

## **Blades**



2007-2008



# Large blades: pre-bending in mould



2007-2008



## **Overview of the drive train**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

# **Drive train (with gearbox)**



## **Drive train without gear: direct drive**



2007-2008


## **Compact drive train**



2007-2008



#### **Gearbox & generator**



2007-2008



## Hub

2007-2008



Delft University of Technology

## Hub



## **Hub and cover**





Composite aerodynamic cover

Cast-iron hub for rotor loads



77

#### **Main shaft and bearings**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## **Double and single bearings**



2007-2008



## **Bearings on fixed axle pin**



2007-2008



#### Gearbox

2007-2008



Delft University of Technology

## type of transmission

#### Parallel

Planetary



Simple

Compact for high power

2007-2008



#### **Gearbox – planetary & parallel stages**





#### Generator

2007-2008



84

Delft University of Technology

## **Doubly fed generator**



Partly variable speed Fed rotor Inverters needed



2007-2008



## **Direct drive (synchronous) generator**

ENERCON 4.5 – 6 MW

Full variable speed Rotor windings for magnetic field Inverters needed

Low speed: (Very) big diameters needed









#### **Permanent magnet generator**



Full variable speed No rotor windings Inverters needed



2007-2008



#### **Brakes**

2007-2008



88

Delft University of Technology

## Location of (fail-safe) brakes



• brake located on slow shaft (rotor shaft has double bearings)

• brake located on fast shaft

Brakes are actively released (hydraulics) and passively clamped (springs)



## **Aerodynamic brake**



- Each blade can pitch individually to brake
- Only mechanical parking brake

2007-2008



## **Bedplate / Main frame**

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## **Traditional bedplate**



Cast-iron mainframe for rotor loads

Welded frame to carry other components

2007-2008







## Yaw system

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

#### Yaw system



@ 1998 www.WINDPOWER.org

© 1998 www.WINDPOWER.org

Bearing Engines Gearboxes Yaw brakes Cable twist counter & pull switch (redundancy)



## Control

State of the art

- Variable speed (restricted in US through patent)
- Pitch control

Future advances

- Individual pitch
- Smart rotors



#### **Multi-MW turbines in the market**

≥ 5MW (prototypes)

GE 3.6 – 3.6s Enercon E-112 REpower 5M Multibrid M5000 Siemens SWT-3.6-107

2007-2008



**Delft University of Technology** 

## GE 3.6 – 3.6s (3.6 MW)



First prototype:April 2002Rotor:104 mGearbox:3-stage (PPE)Generator:AsynchronousDoubly-fedDoubly-fed



# Enercon E-112 (4.5 MW)



First prototype:	August 2002
Rotor:	114 m
Gearbox:	No
Generator:	Synchronous
	Wound rotor
Inverter:	Full (100%)



# REpower 5M (5 MW)

First prototype:	November 2004
Rotor:	126 m
Gearbox:	3-stage (PPE)
Generator:	Asynchronous
	Doubly-fed
Inverter:	Partial (30%)





# Multibrid M5000 (5MW)



First prototype:December 2004Rotor:116 mGearbox:1-stage (Planet)Generator:SynchronousPermanent MagnetInverter:Full (100%)



## Siemens SWT-3.6-107 (3.6 MW)



First prototype:	December 2004
Rotor:	107 m
Gearbox:	3-stage (PPE)
Generator:	Asynchronous
	Squirrel cage
Inverter:	Full (100%)



## **Future developments**

- Announced by leading manufacturers
  - Vestas V120 (4.5 MW)
  - Upgrade Enercon E-112  $\rightarrow$  E-126 (?? MW)
- Developers involvement
  - Bard Engineering Bard VM (5 MW)
  - Econcern DarwinD (4.5 MW)
- No end to scale and concept evolution

